

- Q. I had made an assumption that each fuel would be tested twice on each vehicle with a randomized order and with the potential to repeat tests to decrease dispersion of the data. Then when I was going thru the Attachment A sequence I saw that the protocol had both tests on one fuel occurring back to back rather than as completely new sequences (ie: only one set of drain and fills/de-sulphurization/prep per pair of tests on a fuel). This greatly reduces the scope of work from what I was proposing.

Which approach is actually meant by CRC? The request for randomization of the fuel sequences is what confused me; if both tests on a fuel are to be run back to back then there is only one random element: which fuel tests first. If the tests are run as two test sequences with full prep before the next sequence then there are six possible sequences which require randomization (with 3 fuels the number increases greatly to 120 possible sequences, I think).

- A. Yes, we want to do the tests back to back, so the randomization is pretty straightforward. It's only slightly more complicated if we go with 3 fuels. The SOW language is a holdover from previous, more complicated programs.